

In-Depth Analysis of Microsoft Office Word Record Parsing Buffer Overflow Vulnerability (MS10-056 / CVE-2010-1900)

Table of Contents

Introduction	2
Tested Versions	2
Fixed Versions	2
Technical Details	2
Exploitation	4
Detection	5
References	6

This Binary Analysis and Exploit or Proof-of-concept codes are under the copyrights of VUPEN Security. Copying or reproducing the document, exploit or proof-of-concept codes is prohibited, unless such reproduction or redistribution is permitted by the VUPEN Binary Analysis & Exploits Service license agreement. Use of the Binary Analysis, Exploit or Proof-of-concept codes is subject to the VUPEN Binary Analysis & Exploits Service license terms.

Introduction

A vulnerability exists in Microsoft Office Word when processing Word97 documents, which could be exploited by attackers to execute arbitrary code via a malicious DOC file.

Tested Versions

The vulnerability was analysed on Windows XP SP3 with a Microsoft Office Word 2003 SP3 (winword.exe version 11.0.8324.0).

Fixed Versions

The vulnerability was fixed with the MS10-056 security update.

Technical Details

Microsoft Word allocates a large stack buffer and copies the content of the various property modifiers there. However, the function responsible for parsing "sprmCMajority" (opcode 0xCA47) does not check if the buffer is large enough to hold the data contained within this SPRM (Single Property Modifier). Malicious documents can thus trigger an exploitable stack overflow which can allow arbitrary code to be executed by an attacker.

First of all, a large stack buffer is declared in sub_3028B449:

```
.text:3028B449      push  ebp
.text:3028B44A      mov   ebp, esp
.text:3028B44C      mov   eax, 40ACh
.text:3028B451      call  sub_30006781
.text:3028B456      mov   eax, ds:dword_30B84000
.text:3028B45B      push  3FA3h           //max_size
.text:3028B460      push  [ebp+arg_24]
.text:3028B463      mov   [ebp+var_4], eax
.text:3028B466      push  [ebp+arg_20]
.text:3028B469      lea  eax, [ebp+var_40AC] //stack buffer
.text:3028B46F      push  [ebp+arg_1C]
.text:3028B472      push  0
.text:3028B474      push  [ebp+arg_18]
.text:3028B477      push  [ebp+arg_14]
.text:3028B47A      push  eax
.text:3028B47B      lea  eax, [ebp+arg_4]
.text:3028B47E      push  eax
.text:3028B47F      push  [ebp+Src]
.text:3028B482      call  sub_3028AFEC           //parse various sprms
```

The stack buffer declared here is filled with the sprms and parameters encountered by the parser. Each time a sprm is parsed, its content is copied to this buffer.

The "sprmCMajority" property modifier is specifically parsed in sub_3093A168:

```
.text:304DA220 loc_304DA220:
.text:304DA220      cmp   [ebp+var_554], 0CA47h //case sprmCMajority
.text:304DA22A      jz   loc_304DAC73
...
.text:304DAC73 loc_304DAC73:
.text:304DAC73      cmp   [ebp+arg_14], 0
.text:304DAC77      jnz  short loc_304DAC9A
.text:304DAC79      push [ebp+arg_20]
.text:304DAC7C      push [ebp+arg_1C]
.text:304DAC7F      push [ebp+arg_18]
```

```
.text:304DAC82      push  [ebp+arg_10]
.text:304DAC85      push  [ebp+arg_C]
.text:304DAC88      lea  eax, [ebp+Dst]
.text:304DAC8B      push  eax
.text:304DAC8C      lea  eax, [ebp+Src]
.text:304DAC8F      push  eax
.text:304DAC90      call sub_3093A168          //parse sprmCMajority
```

The vulnerability occurs in this function:

```
.text:3093A168      push  ebp
.text:3093A169      mov   ebp, esp
.text:3093A16B      sub   esp, 698h
.text:3093A171      mov   eax, ds:dword_30B84000
.text:3093A176      mov   [ebp+var_4], eax
.text:3093A179      push edi
.text:3093A17A      mov   eax, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:3093A17D      mov   eax, [eax]          //eax points to the length of the
                          //parameter of the sprmCMajority
.text:3093A17F      movzx eax, byte ptr [eax]
.text:3093A182      mov   [ebp+var_494], eax  //save this length to var_494
.text:3093A188      cmp   [ebp+arg_8], 4Eh   //compare nFib with 4Eh
.text:3093A18C      jl   short loc_3093A200
.text:3093A18E      push  485h
.text:3093A193      push [ebp+var_494]
.text:3093A199      call sub_30045AE9       //return min(485h, length) = length
                          //because length < 100h
                          //move length to var_494
.text:3093A19E      mov   [ebp+var_494], eax
.text:3093A1A4      push [ebp+var_494]
.text:3093A1AA      lea  eax, [ebp+var_490]
.text:3093A1B0      push eax
.text:3093A1B1      mov   eax, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:3093A1B4      mov   eax, [eax]
.text:3093A1B6      inc  eax
.text:3093A1B7      push eax
.text:3093A1B8      call memcp             //copy the content of this sprm to var_490
.text:3093A1BD      mov  ax, word ptr [ebp+var_494]
.text:3093A1C4      mov  word ptr [ebp+var_498], ax
.text:3093A1CB      push 383h             //max_size
.text:3093A1D0      push [ebp+arg_18]
.text:3093A1D3      push [ebp+arg_14]
.text:3093A1D6      push [ebp+arg_10]
.text:3093A1D9      push 0
.text:3093A1DB      push [ebp+arg_C]
.text:3093A1DE      push [ebp+arg_8]
.text:3093A1E1      lea  eax, [ebp+var_490]
.text:3093A1E7      push eax
.text:3093A1E8      lea  eax, [ebp+var_498]
.text:3093A1EE      push eax
.text:3093A1EF      mov  eax, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:3093A1F2      mov  eax, [eax]
.text:3093A1F4      inc  eax
.text:3093A1F5      push eax
.text:3093A1F6      call sub_3028AFEC       //process the sprms found in
                          //sprmCMajority
.text:3093A1FB      jmp  loc_3093A2FF
```

The problem first lies at this point. Actually the data embedded in "sprmCMajority" consists of various sprms. As we can see, the program passes var_490 to sub_3028AFEC. This variable is actually filled with data read from the current "sprmCMajority".

For example the following bytes represent an "sprmCMajority" embedding an "sprmTDefTable" (0xD608).

```
47 CA FF 08 D6 F0 02
```

The vulnerable sprm is followed by FFh bytes. "sprmTDefTable" was specifically chosen in this example because it may be followed by a parameter larger than FFh bytes. Given that Word allows up to 0383h bytes to be copied to var_490, it is thus possible to insert 02F0h bytes in this "sprmTDefTable". When the function returns, var_498 is updated with the actual amount of data written.

The vulnerability actually occurs when the "sprmCMajority" follows enough sprms so that the stack buffer allocated in sub_3028B449 is almost filled when the vulnerable function is entered. Given that this buffer is 3FA3h bytes long, an issue may occur if 3FA3h - 383h = 3C20h bytes are already stored in the buffer. This issue stems then from the following lines:

```
.text:3093A33C loc_3093A33C:
.text:3093A33C     mov     eax, [ebp+arg_4]
.text:3093A33F     mov     eax, [eax]
.text:3093A341     mov     cl, byte ptr [ebp+var_498]
.text:3093A347     mov     [eax], cl
.text:3093A349     movsx  eax, word ptr [ebp+var_498]    //get the actual amount of data
.text:3093A350     push   eax
.text:3093A351     mov     eax, [ebp+arg_4]
.text:3093A354     mov     eax, [eax]
.text:3093A356     inc     eax
.text:3093A357     push   eax                          //destination buffer, declared in
                                          sub_3028B449
.text:3093A358     lea    eax, [ebp+var_490]
.text:3093A35E     push   eax
.text:3093A35F     call  memmove
```

At this point, if enough sprms have been provided before encountering a vulnerable sprmCMajority, an exploitable stack overflow occurs.

Exploitation

Exploitation of this vulnerability is trivial with Excel 2002. Given that this program is not compiled with the security features included in Excel 2003 and above, it is trivial to overwrite a return address of a calling function and thus execute an egghunter to find the payload located somewhere in memory.

With Excel 2003, the provided exploit takes advantage of various arguments overwritten in sub_3028B449:

```
.text:3028B47B     lea    eax, [ebp+arg_4]
.text:3028B47E     push   eax
.text:3028B47F     push   [ebp+Src]
.text:3028B482     call  sub_3028AFEC                    //the stack overflow is triggered here
.text:3028B487     movsx  edx, word ptr [ebp+arg_4]
.text:3028B48B     push   0
.text:3028B48D     push   [ebp+arg_38]                  //each of these arguments can be
                                          controlled
.text:3028B490     lea    ecx, [ebp+var_40AC]
.text:3028B496     push   [ebp+arg_34]
.text:3028B499     push   [ebp+arg_30]
.text:3028B49C     push   [ebp+arg_2C]
.text:3028B49F     push   [ebp+arg_28]
```

```
.text:3028B4A2    push [ebp+arg_10]
.text:3028B4A5    push [ebp+arg_C]
.text:3028B4A8    push [ebp+arg_8]
.text:3028B4AB    call sub_30038555
```

This function performs various actions on the sprms encountered. Sprms 6412h to 641Ah are very interesting because they allow multiple four bytes overwrite to an arbitrary location pointed by arg_0:

```
.text:30038639    push 4 //counter
.text:3003863B
.text:3003863B loc_3003863B:
.text:3003863B    mov ecx, [ebp+var_4]
.text:3003863E    mov edx, esi
.text:30038640    shr edx, 1
.text:30038642    and edx, 0FFFh
.text:30038648    add edx, [ebp+arg_0] //arg_0 is controlled
.text:3003864B    add ecx, 2 //ecx points to controlled data
.text:3003864E    call memmove
```

This exploit thus consists in overwriting the Safe Exception Handler located at 0x0012FFB0 with an arbitrary address. Once done, an exception can be triggered thanks to sprm 0x6654. This sprm is parsed in sub_3004786C:

```
.text:303A78DD    cmp [ebp+var_20E4], 6654h //case 6654h
.text:303A78E7    jz loc_3036C524
...
.text:3036C524 loc_3036C524:
.text:3036C524    push 4 //amount of bytes to write
.text:3036C526    mov eax, [ebp+var_54] //var_54h points to 0x0012FFA0
.text:3036C529    add eax, 0CCh
.text:3036C52E    push eax
.text:3036C52F    push [ebp+var_58]
.text:3036C532    call memcpy
```

An exception is then triggered because 0x0012FFA0 + CCh points outside of the scope of the current stack. The flow is then redirected to "pop pop retn" in MSCOMCTL.OCX and the next code is executed:

```
b8 f9 f8 f7 f6    mov eax, 0xF6F7F8F9
start:
59                pop ecx
39 c1             cmp ecx, eax
75 FB            jne start
FF E4            jmp esp
```

At this point the program executes an egghunter and eventually the payload. This exploit has been tested with MSCOMCTL.OCX version 6.1.95.45 provided by default with Microsoft Office XP and Office 2003.

Exploitation is far more difficult with Word 2007 due to a stack cookie which seems difficult to bypass.

Detection

It is difficult to reliably detect an exploit for this vulnerability as the "sprmCMajority" is vulnerable only if it is preceded by a large amount of sprms.

The best way to detect an exploit taking advantage of this vulnerability is to analyse each grpprl (group of sprms) embedded in a Word document. Then, check if they carry a sprmCMajority (sprm 0xCA47) and note its offset.

To avoid a consequent amount of false positives, consider that a file carrying a "sprmTDefTableShd" at an offset greater than 0x2000 in a grpprl is suspicious. Word documents usually do not contain so many sprms in the same grpprl so 0x2000 should be a good threshold to detect a malicious file.

References

VUPEN/ADV-2010-2053:

<http://www.vupen.com/english/advisories/2010/2053>

MS10-056:

<http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/ms10-056.msp>

Changelog

2010-08-24: Initial release